

RECENT INSIGHTS INTO NOSOCOMIAL INFECTIONS- A NEGLECTED CONDITION

1*Nasser Jarallah

ABSTRACT

Nosocomial infections (NI), also known as hospital acquired infection (HAI), is infection whose development is favored by a hospital environment, such as one acquired by a patient during a hospital visit or one developing among hospital staff. Such infections include fungal and bacterial infections and are aggravated by the reduced resistance of individual patients ⁽⁴⁾. Nosocomial infections continues to be of major clinical and epidemiologic importance in developing countries ^(2,3) as it constitutes a major source of morbidity, mortality and significant incremental health care expense for the hospitalized patient, despite major advances in clinical sciences. As per the Study on the Efficacy of Nosocomial Infection Control (SENIC) routine surveillance of NI has become an integral part of infection control and quality assurance in US hospitals because its potential of reducing nosocomial infections⁽¹⁾. Studies performed in the United States have demonstrated that an integrated infection control program that includes targeted device-associated surveillance can reduce the incidence of nosocomial infection by as much as 30% and lead to reduced health care costs⁽¹⁾.

ملخص: عدوى المستشفيات (NI)، المعروف أيضا باسم عدوى المستشفيات المكتسبة (HAI)، هي العدوى التي يساعدها على النمو بيئة المستشفى، مثل التي يحصل عليها المريض خلال زيارة المستشفى أو أحد العاملين في المستشفى. هذه الأمراض تشمل الأمراض الفطرية والبكتيرية والتي تفاقمت من جراء انخفاض المقاومة من المرضى الفردية (غارنر IS, 1996). عدوى المستشفيات لا تزال ذات أهمية كبرى السريرية والوبائية في البلدان النامية (جيفيك MA، 2005؛ روزنتال VD، 2004) كما أنها تشكل مصدرا رئيسيا للوفيات والمراضة وخاصة الرعاية الصحية المتزايدة على حساب المريض في المستشفى، على الرغم من التقدم الكبير في العلوم السريرية. وفقا لدراسة حول فعالية مكافحة العدوى المكتسبة بالمستشفيات المراقبة الروتينية (SENIC) على (NI) أصبحت جزءا لا يتجزأ من مكافحة العدوى وضمان الجودة في مستشفيات الولايات المتحدة بسبب إمكاناتها للحد من عدوى المستشفيات (هالي 1985، RW). وقد أظهرت الدراسات التي أجريت في الولايات المتحدة أن مكافحة العدوى المكتسبة داخلة برنامج يتضمن استهداف جهاز المراقبة المرتبطة يمكن أن تقلل من حدوث العدوى المكتسبة داخلة بنسبة تصل إلى 30٪، وتؤدي إلى خفض تكاليف الرعاية الصحية (هالي RW) 875).

Received: 13 December, 2012; Accepted: 7 February, 2013

*Correspondence: naljarallah@mu.edu.sa

¹Dean, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah University, Al-Majmaah, Saudi Arabia



INTRODUCTION

Nosocomial are caused by a wide variety of pathogens; including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella spp., Escherichia coli. Enterococci, Staphylococci Staphylococci and Enterococci are major causes of nosocomial infections. They cause superficial skin lesions such as boils, styes and more serious infections such as pneumonia, mastitis, phlebitis, meningitis and urinary tract infections; and deepseated infections, such as osteomyelitis and endocarditis. Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) is a strain of S. aureus

which by definition is resistant to the semisynthetic penicillins (i.e. methicillin, nafcillin, and oxacillin). As such, it is resistant to all other beta-lactam antibiotics (including other penicillins, cephalosporins and cephamycins). Additionally, MRSA is often resistant to other classes of antibiotics including aminoglycosides, macrolides and quinolones. Thus, MRSA is not only methicillin resistant but also multiplyresistant as well⁽¹⁹⁾.

Surveillance of NI is a necessary first step toward reducing the risk of infection among patients treated through trained nurse epidemiologists who should assume increasing responsibility for education of personnel and for performance of studies designed to elucidate the mechanism and prevention of hospital infections. The next step is to apply infection control practices have been shown that to prevent nosocomial infections.

PREVALENCE OF NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION

NI are found to be more prevalent in Hospital Departments & Individual Systems^(14,15,16). In general nosocomial infection rates vary by service and by levels of invasive management of seriously ill

patients. Accordingly the incidence of Nosocomial infections are highest in Intensive care Unit (ICU) followed by Coronary care unit (CCU), High dependency Unit, Prost operative ward etc in prevalence to be followed gradually in rank by general surgical and medical departments. Departments with low infection rates include Ophthalmology and Maternity.

Studies on Nosocomial infections show that incidence of HAI is highest in large teaching academic hospitals, or intermediate in small teaching hospitals and lowest is small non-teaching Hospitals. These studies show that immunity of the patient is the major factor in Hospital Acquired infection. In large Hospital, more seriously ill patients are admitted, more sophisticated therapy is given ad the patients are immunocompromised by the disease itself as well as the treatment $(surgery \pm chemotherapy + radiotherapy)$ that is immunosuppressive therapy etc.

As for the sites of infection, Urinary tract infection (UTI) by far, is the commonest infection Pneumonia, Surgical wound infections are the next most common, Skin infection, though relatively un-common in temperate zone, are relatively more prevalent in hot countries. Then comes. Blood stream infection namely septicaemia, bacteraemia, IV infection site infection. Infection of the peritoneal cavity (peritonitis).

CLASSIFICATION OF NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION

NI may be endogenous, arising from an infectious agent present within a patient's body, or exogenous, transmitted from another source within the hospital. In addition to patient-to-patient spread, others may be involved, including staff, students, visitors and voluntary workers⁽¹⁸⁾.



COMMON MODES OF TRANSMISSION

- 1. Direct Contact: E.g. Direct contact between patients and patient are personnel is the most important mode of transmission. Self-infection: From patients own pathognomic floras of skin, nose, mouth, throat periniusn, infected lesions.
- 2. Indirect Contact: E.g. Indirect contact with contaminated inanimate objects like improperly sterilized instruments, dressing materials; contaminated fomites e.g. bed pans, blankets etc etc.
- 3. Air Borne Transmission: From outside hospital-With air flow from infected areas like-Dustbins, open morgues.
- 4. Vector Borne Transmission: E.g. Via. Mosquitoes-malaria, Dengue etc.
- 5. Transmission by Common Vehicles: e.g. via. Food, blood, Water (contaminated) Medications etc.

PREVENTION OF NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION

The other frustrating fact regarding the natural history of Nosocomial or Hospital Acquired Infections is that they cannot be eradicated entirely; but many of them can be prevented by proper control measures. In places where control programs can be implemented, there had been a proven reduction of morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, the money that can be saved by reduction of nosocomial infections is much more used for infection control⁽¹³⁾.

Several approaches have been adopted in order to limit pathogen colonization. Strict hygienic practices by healthcare personnel e such as basic hand washing e along with regular disinfection of the hospital environment are considered by some of basic importance. However, it should be noted that routine disinfection of the hospital environment is controversial (21,22,23). Since nosocomial infections remain

Guidelines on hospital hygiene.

- Disinfection.
- Sterilization.
- Cleaning.
- Laundering.
- Catering.
- Waste-disposal.

Guidelines on procedures:

- Urethral Catheterization.
- Prevention of surgical wound infection.
- Tracheostomy.
- Intravenous fluid administration.
- Prevention of nosocomial Pneumonia
- Hand washing etc.

Guidelines on special issues:

- Prevention of nosocomial H.I.V Infection.
- Hepatitis B immunization.
- Prevention of infection in hemodialysis unit; transplant unit etc.

an important problem even for hospitals with well-organized and decisively implemented infection control programs, studies of innovative infection control measures are warranted.

Three fundamental principles govern the measures that should be taken in order to prevent the transmission of HAI in health-care facilities:

Isolation: Identify and separate/segregate from other patients:

- Known infected patients,
- Patients with certain symptoms or
- behaviors (e.g., poor hygiene),
- Patients with high potential for contamination of the environment (uncontained, draining surgical wound)



Routes of transmission: Eliminate or minimize potential routes of transmission from sources of microorganisms (e.g., use aseptic technique when inserting IV catheters).

Barrier Techniques: Use basic barrier techniques to eliminate or minimize the risk of transmitting infectious agents from patient to patient, from patient to caregiver, and from caregiver to patient. Presume all patients are infected until proved otherwise.

Guidelines used in other hospitals or countries should be adapted so that they are appropriate to be implemented in the hospital. The guidelines should cover most routine procedures and treatments as follow⁽¹⁷⁾.

Nosocomial infection caused by Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)

The worldwide emergence of multidrug resistant bacterial strains is of growing concern. These infections are difficult to eradicate due to resistance to many antimicrobials, thus major cause of morbidity and mortality, leading directly and indirectly to an enormous increase in cost of hospital stay for the patients and also emergence of new health hazards for the community.

MRSA colonization and infection in acute and non-acute care facilities have increased dramatically over the past two decades, evidenced by the increasing number of reported outbreaks in the medical literature. Because of its resistance to antibiotics, management of MRSA infections requires more complicated, toxic and expensive treatment. It is important for the health care professional to understand the difference between colonization and infection. Colonization indicates the presence of the organism without symptoms of illness. S. aureus permanently colonizes the anterior nares of about 20% to 30% of the general population. Hospital workers are more likely to be colonized than persons in the general population, presumably because of increased exposure⁽²⁰⁾.

IMPROVED HAND HYGIENE-REDUCTION IN NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION

Hand hygiene is a fundamental aspect of infection control, with several studies showing a decline in nosocomial infection rates when compliance with hand hygiene is enhanced^{5,6,7)}. Despite universal acknowledgement of the pivotal role that hand hygiene plays in reducing nosocomial infection, compliance among health care workers remains poor, with rates ranging from 16% to 81% ^(8,9,10).

Pittet et al studied predictors noncompliance with hand hygiene in an observational study and found that, in multivariate analysis, physicians nursing assistants had lower compliance rates than nurses. Of concern, compliance was lower in ICUs and during procedures that carried a high risk of contamination⁽¹¹⁾. Dubbert et al found that, although education alone improved compliance rates transiently, performance feedback resulted in a more sustained improvement in compliance⁽⁹⁾. In a preand study intervention in an rehabilitation unit, McGuckin et al used a patient education model consisting of patients asking HCWs coming into contact with them whether they had washed their hands. Compliance (measured through soap/sanitizer usage per resident-day) improved to 94% during the 6-week intervention. However, adherence to hand hygiene fell to 40% in the follow-up period⁽¹²⁾.

REFERENCES

- 1. Haley RW, Culver DH, White JW, Morgan WM, Emori TG, Munn VP, et al. The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in US hospitals. Am J Epidemiol 1985;121:182-205.
- 2. Cevik MA, Yilmaz GR, Erdinc FS, Ucler S, Tulek NE. Relationship between nosocomial infection and mortality in a neurology intensive care unit in Turkey. J Hosp Infect 2005;59:324-30.
- 3. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Deviceassociated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of Argentina. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004;25:251-5.
- Garner, J.S., W.R. Jarvis, T.G. Emori, T.C. Horan and J.M. Hughes, 1996. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections. In: APIC infection Control and Applied Epidemiology: Principles and Practice. Ed., Olmsted, R.N., St. Louis: Mosby, pp: A1-A20.
- Doebbeling BN, Stanley GL, Sheetz CT, Pfaller MA, Houston AK, Annis L, et al. Comparative efficacy of alternative hand-washing agents in reducing nosocomial infections in intensive care units. N Engl J Med 1992;327:88-93.
- Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, Perneger TV. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene: Infection Control Programme. Lancet 2000;356:1307-12.
- Fendler EJ, Ali Y, Hammond BS, Lyons MK, Kelley MB, Vowell NA. The impact of alcohol hand sanitizer use on infection rates in an extended care facility. Am J Infect Control 2002;30:226-33.
- 8. Pittet D. Improving adherence to hand hygiene practice: a multidisciplinary vapproach. Emerg Infect Dis 2001;7:234-40.
- Dubbert PM, Dolce J, Richter W, Miller M, Chapman SW. IncreasingvICU staff handwashing: effects of education and group feedback. InfectvControl Hosp Epidemiol 1990;11:191-3.
- 10. Donowitz LG. Handwashing technique in a pediatric intensive carevunit. Am J Dis Child 1987;141:683-5.

- 11. Pittet D, Mourouga P, Perneger TV. Compliance with handwashing in a teaching hospital. Infection Control Program. Ann Intern Med 1999; 130:126-30.
- 12. McGuckin M, Taylor A, Martin V, Porten L, Salcido R. Evaluation of a patient education model for increasing hand hygiene compliance in an inpatient rehabilitation unit. Am J Infect Control 2004;32:235-8.
- 13. Sudsukh U, The control of nosocomial infections in Thailand in the future, J Med Assoc Tahi 1989 72; (supp 1 2) 44-5.
- Danchaivijitr S, Mortensen N. Use of prevalence data, In: Hospital Infection Prevalence Survey and Program Guidea WHO Manual (Unpublished).
- 15. Mayon-White RT, Dual G, Kereselidze T, Tikhomirov E. An international survey of the prevalence of hospital acquired infection. J Hosp Infect 1988: 11: S43-8.
- Britt MR, Burk JP, Nordguist AG, et al. Infection control in small hospital: prevalence surveys in 18 institutions. JAMA 1976; 236: 1700-3.
- 17. S.A. Khan. Nosocomial infection: general principles & the consequences, importance of it's control and an outline of the control policy
 A Review Article. Bangladesh Medical Journal 2009; 38(2): 60-64
- 18. Boyce JM. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1992, 13:725.
- 19. Shrestha B, Pokhrel B, Mohapatra T. Nepal Med Coll J. 2009; 11:123
- Van Hal SJ, Stark D, Lockwood B, Marriott D, Harkness J. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 10: 1128
- 21. Dettenkofer M, Wenzler S, Amthor S, Antes G, Motschall E, Daschner FD. Does disinfection of environmental surfaces influence nosocomial infection rates? A systematic review. Am J Infect Control 2004;32:84-89.
- 22. Dettenkofer M, Spencer RC. Importance of environmental decontamination e a critical view. J Hosp Infect 2007;65: 55-57.
- 23. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis 2006;6:130.